

United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

| APPLICATION NO. | | FILING DATE | FIRST NAMED INVENTOR | ATTORNEY DOCKET NO. | CONFIRMATION NO. |
|--|------|---------------|----------------------|-------------------------|------------------|
| 10/044,585 | | 10/23/2001 | Mark A. Kirkpatrick | 60027.0071US01 4842 | |
| 39262 | 7590 | 05/14/2004 | | EXAMINER | |
| BELLSOUTH CORPORATION P.O. BOX 2903 | | | | YUN, EUGENE | |
| MINNEAPOLIS, MN 55402-0903 | | IN 55402-0903 | | ART UNIT PAPER NUMBER | |
| | | | | 2682 | 11 |
| | | | | DATE MAILED: 05/14/2004 | |

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

| | Application No. | Applicant(s) | | | | |
|--|--|--|--|--|--|--|
| Advisory Action | 10/044,585 | KIRKPATRICK, MARK A. | | | | |
| , and the second second | Examiner | Art Unit | | | | |
| | Eugene Yun | 2682 | | | | |
| The MAILING DATE of this communication app | pears on the cover sheet with the | correspondence address | | | | |
| THE REPLY FILED 26 April 2004 FAILS TO PLACE TH Therefore, further action by the applicant is required to a final rejection under 37 CFR 1.113 may only be either: (condition for allowance; (2) a timely filed Notice of Appel Examination (RCE) in compliance with 37 CFR 1,114. | avoid abandonment of this applica 1) a timely filed amendment whic al (with appeal fee); or (3) a timel | ation. A proper reply to a h places the application in | | | | |
| PERIOD FOR R | EPLY [check either a) or b)] | | | | | |
| a) | Advisory Action, or (2) the date set forthe later than SIX MONTHS from the mailin | g date of the final rejection. | | | | |
| Extensions of time may be obtained under 37 CFR 1.136(a). The fee have been filed is the date for purposes of determining the period fee under 37 CFR 1.17(a) is calculated from: (1) the expiration date of (2) as set forth in (b) above, if checked. Any reply received by the Officimely filed, may reduce any earned patent term adjustment. See 37 | of extension and the corresponding amo f the shortened statutory period for reply fice later than three months after the mai | ount of the fee. The appropriate extension originally set in the final Office action; or | | | | |
| A Notice of Appeal was filed on Appellant' CFR 1.192(a), or any extension thereof (37 CF | | | | | | |
| 2. The proposed amendment(s) will not be entered to | pecause: | | | | | |
| (a) They raise new issues that would require furth | ner consideration and/or search (| see NOTE below); | | | | |
| (b) they raise the issue of new matter (see Note below); | | | | | | |
| (c) they are not deemed to place the application issues for appeal; and/or | in better form for appeal by mate | rially reducing or simplifying the | | | | |
| (d) they present additional claims without cance NOTE: | ling a corresponding number of f | inally rejected claims. | | | | |
| 3. Applicant's reply has overcome the following rejection | ction(s): | | | | | |
| Newly proposed or amended claim(s) would canceling the non-allowable claim(s). | d be allowable if submitted in a se | eparate, timely filed amendment | | | | |
| 5. ☐ The a) ☐ affidavit, b) ☐ exhibit, or c) ☐ request fo application in condition for allowance because: see | r reconsideration has been consi <u>se attached sheet</u> . | dered but does NOT place the | | | | |
| 6. The affidavit or exhibit will NOT be considered becaraised by the Examiner in the final rejection. | cause it is not directed SOLELY t | o issues which were newly | | | | |
| 7. For purposes of Appeal, the proposed amendmen explanation of how the new or amended claims w | nt(s) a) will not be entered or by vould be rejected is provided belo | □ will be entered and an wor appended. | | | | |
| The status of the claim(s) is (or will be) as follows: | | | | | | |
| Claim(s) allowed: | | | | | | |
| Claim(s) objected to: | | | | | | |
| Claim(s) rejected: | | | | | | |
| Claim(s) withdrawn from consideration: | | | | | | |
| 8. The drawing correction filed on is a) app | proved or b) disapproved by t | he Examiner. | | | | |
| 9. Note the attached Information Disclosure Stateme | ent(s)(PTO-1449) Paper No(s). | | | | | |
| 10. Other: | SUPERVISORY PATENT EXAMINER THICHNOLOGY CENTER 2600 | | | | | |
| | | | | | | |

Application/Control Number: 10/044,585

Art Unit: 2682

DETAILED ACTION

Response to Arguments

1. Applicant's arguments filed 4/26/2004 have been fully considered but they are not persuasive.

Following the telephone interview conducted on 4/22/2004, the examiner was presented with a written response reiterating the argument that the Schornack and Morrow references cannot be combined due to the fact that they teach away from each other. After through review of the references and argument, the examiner stands by his rejection for the following reasons:

Firstly, there in no indication in the independent claims that the wireline network is still able to receive and send calls while the wireless network is connected and operative. Therefore, even when the Morrow reference is combined with the Schornack reference and the wireline network in the Schornack is disabled, all of the limitations in the independent claims would still be covered.

In addition, there is also no indication in the claims as in which network is used for communications between two separate users. The outside connection could then be wither wireless or wireline meaning that the Morrow reference could actually be used alone to teach all of the limitations of the applicant's independent claims. Claims 1-3 of the Morrow reference in itself also teach the limitations of the applicant's independent claims.

Art Unit: 2682

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Eugene Yun whose telephone number is (703) 305-2689. The examiner can normally be reached on 8:30am-5:30pm Alt. Fridays off.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Vivian Chin can be reached on (703) 308-6739. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 703-872-9306.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free).

Eugene Yun Examiner

Art Unit 2682